Discussion of "FinTech and the Supply of Credit to Small Business"

by Mark Johnson

FMA 2020

Keer Yang - University of Minnesota

Summary and Main Contributions

- Price-setting mechanism of credit to small business in the FinTech era.
- Focus on the causes of price dispersion and the how equilirbium prices are determined on FinTech marketplace
- Main Findings:
 - document price dispersion in FinTech marketplace : when an applicant receives multiple offers, prices vary substantially
 - lender fixed effects explain most of the price variations
 - price dispersion is caused by lender's specialization in risk target + flat interest rate
 - highest interest rate lenders match with borrowers that have been rejected by lenders with lower rate

This Paper

- Very interesting paper.
- Novel data from a FinTech marketplace.
- Improves our understanding of pricing mechanism in online FinTech marketplace.
- My comments:
 - identification of lender habitats
 - offer price dispersion vs equilibrium price dispersion
 - testing information asymmetry
 - other comments

FinTech and Price Dispersion

- Price dispersion reflects imperfections in credit market.
 - positive searching costs
 - ex-ante heterogeneities in borrowers or lenders.
- FinTech marketplace is a type of online platform that assisted in originating loans to businesses
 - for online FinTech marketplace, searching costs are reduced to almost zero.
- What's the prevailing frictions that cause price dispersion in FinTech credit market

Lender Habitats: Specilization in Risk Tolerance

- Lender Habitats : lenders specialize in (prefer) specific categories of risk, rejecting applicants below lender-specific thresholds
 - (lender-specific) agency cost for lender
 - lenders' funding cost
- The paper uses borrower FICO score at 10th percentiles (LowFICO) of a given lender to measure lender habitats
 - LowFICO_j = 10th percentile of {FICO_{i,j,t}}, across borrowers at different time
- $APR_{ij} = LowFICO_j + \eta_i + \varepsilon_{ij}$
 - borrower fixed effects rule out channel such as endogenous matching between lenders and borrower.
- Other possible channels?
 - Is it time-invariant lender habitats or time-varying credit supply?

Lender Habitats or Time-Varying Credit Supply

- An example of time-varying credit supply
- Two periods with two borrows in each period, one with high FICO score (F_h) and one with low FICO score (F_l) , in total four borrowers
- Two lenders:
 - Lender A, with constant funding cost. Offer R_h to high FICO score borrower and R_l to low FICO score borrower, $(R_h < R_l)$
 - Lender B, same funding cost as lender A in period 1, decreased funding cost but more financially constrained in period 2
 - \blacksquare So lender B does not invest in low FICO project at period 2

■ average FICO for lender A,
$$F_A = \frac{R_h + R_l}{2}$$
; lender B, $F_B = \frac{2R_h + R_l}{3}$

four borrowers, and seven offers

Period 1Period 2High FICO borrow
$$(R_h, F_A), (R_h, F_B)$$
 $(R_h, F_A), (R_h - c, F_B)$ Low FICO borrow $(R_l, F_A), (R_l, F_B)$ (R_h, F_A)

Lender Habitats or Time-Varying Credit Supply

- The example is specifically designed, but the problem comes from averaging FICO score over time.
- Lenders with same risk tolerance may invest in different project due to credit supply shock
- Two Robustness Tests:
 - Add lender level control variables, controlling for lender credit supply. E,g, average interest by lender, average loan amount by lender.
 - Measure "time-varying" lender habitats: LowFICO_{j,t} = 10th percentile of {FICO_{i,j,t}}

Offer Price Dispersion and Equilibrium Price Dispersion

- Price dispersion reflects market imperfections.
- The paper defines price dispersion as within applicant interest rate variation, which is offer price dispersion.
 - This definition perfectly controls for loan heterogeneity
- Offer price dispersion is a close but distinct concept as equilibrium price dispersion
 - Equilibrium price dispersion matters for welfare analysis
 - Only under certain assumptions, offer price dispersion results in equilibrium price dispersion

Offer Price Dispersion and Equilibrium Price Dispersion

-0.388*** (0.070)

-8 805***

(1.945)

Х

X 50 0.260 25.712

■ Table 3

Dependent Variable		Panel A: Closed Deal
	(1)	Dependent Variable
lowFICO	-0.897^{***} (0.128)	FICO Score
Maturity		$\ln(Age)$
$\ln(\text{Loan Amount})$		ln(Loan Amount)
		Term
Borrower FE LenderFE	Х	$\ln(Cash/Sales)$
# Lenders # Borrowers Adjusted R-squared Within R-squared N	50 28,007 0.703 0.307 83,612	Industry FE Time FE Lender FE # Lenders Adjusted R-squared
		N

Table 4

Table 3 shows lender specilization in risk tolerance affects within applicant interest rate offered.

- Do lender habitats affect equilibrium price dispersion?
- run a similar regression on closed deals (similar to regression table 4), and add "LowFICO"

Information asymmetry in FinTech Marketplace

FinTech marketplace decreases borrowers search costs to almost zero, does it come at the cost of (higher) information asymmetry

Table 5

Dependent Variable	Closed					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
APR	-0.243***				-0.169***	
	(0.043)				(0.042)	
Maturity	0.124				0.044	
	(0.169)				(0.278)	
ln(Loan Amount)	-5.350***				-3.782***	
	(1.146)				(1.036)	
Credit Score	` ´	-0.113^{***}			-0.105***	
		(0.012)			(0.006)	
ln(Age)		(,	-1.252**		-2.114***	
			(0.549)		(0.444)	
$\ln(\text{Cash/Sales})$			` '	-2.266^{***}	-1.777***	
				(0.329)	(0.324)	
BorrowerFE	X			. ,	· /	
LenderFE		X	X	X	Х	
# Lenders	50	50	50	49	49	
Adjusted R-squared	0.397	0.134	0.107	0.084	0.121	
Within R-squared	0.067	0.031	0.001	0.004	0.044	
N .	82,981	115,406	115,406	93,414	93,414	

- Test One: whether applicants are more likely to choose loans with lower APR.
- Does the negative correlation between APR and funding probability rise naturally, given lenders heterogeneous marginal costs and no information asymmetry?

Information asymmetry in FinTech Marketplace

Table 5

Dependent Variable	Closed				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
APR	-0.243***				-0.169***
	(0.043)				(0.042)
Maturity	0.124				0.044
	(0.169)				(0.278)
ln(Loan Amount)	-5.350***				-3.782***
	(1.146)				(1.036)
Credit Score		-0.113^{***}			-0.105***
		(0.012)			(0.006)
ln(Age)			-1.252**		-2.114***
			(0.549)		(0.444)
$\ln(\text{Cash/Sales})$				-2.266^{***}	-1.777***
				(0.329)	(0.324)
BorrowerFE	X				
LenderFE		X	X	X	X
# Lenders	50	50	50	49	49
Adjusted R-squared	0.397	0.134	0.107	0.084	0.121
Within R-squared	0.067	0.031	0.001	0.004	0.044
N	82,981	115,406	115,406	93,414	93,414

Suggestion:

- Split the borrowers into high FICO borrowers vs low FICO borrowers.
- Split lenders into FinTech vs non-FinTech lenders

Other Comments

- Why do Lenders Specialize?
 - Agency cost or time-invariant differences in funding cost.
- Connection between information asymmetry and lender habitats

Conclusion

- Very interesting paper.
- It improves our understanding of price setting mechanism of credit to small business in FinTech marketplace
- Hope my comments will help with the next version of the paper.